

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/869,709	SIPPEL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Zachariah Lucas	1648	

**All Participants:**

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

(2) Richard M. Lebovitz.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 30 August 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

NA

**Claims discussed:**

42, 79, and 37, 38, 45, 46, 48-59, and 61-73

**Prior art documents discussed:**

NA

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the claims would be in condition for allowance

- 1) upon the cancellation of withdrawn claims 37, 38, 45, 46, 48-59, and 61-73,
- 2) if claim 42 was amended to clarify what is being claimed, and
- 3) if claim 79 was cancelled or amended to clarify how it is different from claim 1.

It was agreed that claims 37, 38, 45, 46, 48-59, 61-73, and 79 would be cancelled, and that claim 42 would be amended to read as follows:

- - Claim 42 A screening method for testing the suitability of a plurality of test substances as ligands for a ligand-binding domain of a receptor, characterized in that the assay method of claim 35 is employed for the screening.- - These amendments would be made by Examiner's Amendment.